

# Criteria for Admission to Palliative Care for Non-Cancer Patients

Chen Yuanfang

Bengbu Medical College, China

**Abstract:** Palliative care, also known as end-of-life care, aims to reduce the suffering of patients and improve their quality of life during the terminal stage of illness. It focuses on providing comfort and maintaining patients' dignity. This paper examines the current status and prospects of palliative care for patients without cancer. It discusses the importance of palliative care for non-cancer patients and the need for equitable access to such care. The study explores the concept of palliative care, its value and significance, and highlights the challenges and inequities in providing palliative care to non-cancer patients.

**Keywords:** palliative care; end-of-life care; non-cancer patients; terminal illness; comfort; quality of life; dignity

## 1 Research Background

**Definition and Purpose of Palliative Care.** Palliative care is a comprehensive healthcare model aimed at providing holistic physical, psychological, social, and spiritual care to patients with serious illnesses to improve their quality of life and alleviate suffering. Its purpose is to help patients and their family members achieve physical, emotional, and spiritual comfort and harmony when the disease cannot be cured or enters the terminal stage.

**Palliative Care Needs of Non-cancer Patients.** Non-cancer patients also require palliative care, especially those suffering from chronic diseases, advanced cardiovascular diseases, respiratory system diseases, neurological diseases, and other conditions. These patients often face severe pain, respiratory difficulties, weakness, and psychological distress, requiring specialized healthcare professionals to provide high-quality palliative care.

**Current Issues and Challenges.** Currently, there are several issues and challenges in providing palliative care to non-cancer patients. Firstly, healthcare institutions and professionals have limited understanding of the palliative care needs of non-cancer patients and lack specialized training and guidance. Secondly, there is a lack of clear and unified admission criteria for non-cancer patients in palliative care, including assessment frameworks and guiding principles. Additionally, there are differences in the awareness and acceptance of palliative care among non-cancer patients and their families, highlighting the need for strengthened education and promotion efforts. Furthermore, the level of support from the healthcare insurance system and policies for palliative care of non-cancer patients needs improvement.

To address these issues and challenges, it is crucial to establish clear admission criteria for palliative care specifically targeting non-cancer patients. This will help standardize and enhance the quality of palliative care for non-cancer patients, ensuring that they receive appropriate care and support.

## 2 Literature Review

The research on palliative care for non-cancer patients has been relatively limited in the past few years. The main focus of research has been on patient needs and symptom management. These studies have emphasized the patients' needs for pain management, relief of respiratory difficulties, psychological support,

and social interaction. Additionally, some studies have looked into the attitudes, willingness, and acceptance of palliative care among non-cancer patients and their families.

Various countries or regions have different admission criteria for palliative care. Some countries consider factors such as age, diagnosis, prognosis, functional status, and social support as the main criteria for admission, while others may primarily focus on the severity of the condition and the response to treatment. Furthermore, some countries have developed different assessment tools and guiding principles to help determine the eligibility of non-cancer patients for palliative care.

Existing palliative care admission criteria have certain advantages and disadvantages. The advantages include clear standards and guiding principles, which contribute to uniform assessment and determination of patients' palliative care needs. Additionally, some admission criteria also take into account individual differences and special circumstances, making palliative care more personalized and tailored. However, the drawbacks include inconsistency and lack of flexibility in the criteria, as well as subjectivity and limitations of assessment tools. Furthermore, some criteria may not adequately consider the special needs and challenges of non-cancer patients, calling for further improvement and refinement.

To further advance the development of palliative care for non-cancer patients, it is necessary to conduct in-depth research and comparisons of admission criteria in different countries or regions, and develop more detailed and comprehensive criteria based on the actual conditions and patient needs. Additionally, there is a need to strengthen the training and implementation of the criteria to enhance the professionalism of healthcare professionals and the quality of palliative care.

## 3 The Necessity of Establishing Admission Criteria for Palliative Care in Non-cancer Patients

### 3.1 The Role and Significance of Admission Criteria for Palliative Care

Admission criteria for palliative care play a crucial role in the healthcare system, shaping the delivery and quality of care for

patients with life-limiting illnesses. These criteria serve several important purposes and hold significant significance in ensuring that patients receive appropriate and timely palliative care interventions. Let's explore the role and significance of admission criteria in more detail.

**Identifying patients in need:** Palliative care admission criteria help healthcare providers identify patients who would benefit from palliative care services. By defining specific eligibility requirements, such as the severity of illness, prognosis, or functional status, these criteria assist in efficiently identifying patients who could benefit from the holistic and comprehensive approach of palliative care.

**Improving care planning and coordination:** Admission criteria guide healthcare professionals in assessing the unique care needs of patients and developing tailored care plans. By considering various factors like symptoms, emotional well-being, social support, and spiritual needs, these criteria support the formulation of comprehensive care plans that address the specific challenges faced by each patient. This, in turn, facilitates better care coordination and ensures that patients receive optimal support from a multidisciplinary team.

**Allocation of limited resources:** Palliative care services are often limited by available resources. Admission criteria help healthcare systems allocate these scarce resources equitably and efficiently by prioritizing those patients who have the greatest need. By identifying patients who are most likely to benefit from palliative care, these criteria assist in optimizing the utilization of resources and ensuring that the services reach those who truly require them.

**Enhancing communication and shared decision-making:** Admission criteria provide a common framework for healthcare professionals, patients, and families to communicate and make informed decisions regarding the appropriateness of palliative care. By outlining specific requirements and guidelines, these criteria facilitate open and honest conversations about the potential benefits, goals, and expectations of palliative care, fostering shared decision-making between patients, families, and healthcare providers.

**Quality assurance and standardization:** Admission criteria contribute to maintaining quality assurance and standardization in palliative care practices. By establishing clear and standardized guidelines for admission, these criteria help ensure consistency in the assessment and delivery of palliative care services across healthcare settings. This leads to improved quality of care, better patient outcomes, and enhanced patient and family satisfaction.

In summary, admission criteria for palliative care hold immense significance in identifying patients in need, improving care planning and coordination, allocating resources appropriately, facilitating communication and shared decision-making, and ensuring quality and standardization of care. These criteria play a pivotal role in guiding the provision of palliative care and ultimately improving the quality of life for patients with life-limiting illnesses and their families.

### **3.2 The Necessity of Independent Criteria that Align with the Characteristics of Non-cancer Patients**

Non-cancer patients have unique characteristics and care needs that distinguish them from cancer patients. Therefore, it is essential to develop independent admission criteria specifically tailored to the requirements of non-cancer patients. Let's explore in more detail

the necessity and significance of independent criteria that align with the characteristics of non-cancer patients:

**Addressing the complexity of non-cancer conditions:** Non-cancer patients often have a diverse range of conditions, including chronic diseases, organ failure, neurologic disorders, and progressive degenerative disorders. These conditions present unique challenges, such as complex symptom management, multiple comorbidities, and varying disease trajectories. By establishing independent admission criteria, healthcare providers can ensure that the specific needs and complexities of non-cancer patients are adequately addressed in the provision of palliative care.

**Tailoring interventions to non-cancer patients:** Non-cancer patients may experience different symptom burdens, such as pain, dyspnea, fatigue, cognitive impairment, and psychological distress. They may require interventions specific to their conditions, such as advanced symptom management, patient education on disease progression, psychological support, caregiver training, and assistance with decision-making. Independent criteria enable healthcare providers to identify and target these unique needs, ensuring that non-cancer patients receive appropriate and comprehensive palliative care interventions.

**Considering psychosocial and functional aspects:** Non-cancer patients often face significant psychosocial and functional challenges that require specialized support. These may include addressing caregiver burden, resolving conflicts around treatment decisions, improving quality of life, enhancing communication and coping skills, and facilitating advance care planning. Independent admission criteria take into account these psychosocial and functional aspects, ensuring that non-cancer patients benefit from a holistic approach that encompasses their personal, emotional, and social dimensions of care.

**Recognizing diverse goals of care:** Non-cancer patients may have different goals of care compared to cancer patients. While cancer patients often focus on curative or life-prolonging treatments, non-cancer patients may prioritize symptom management, maintaining functional abilities, and enhancing their overall quality of life. Independent admission criteria help healthcare providers assess and understand the individual goals and preferences of non-cancer patients, enabling them to tailor palliative care interventions accordingly.

**Advocating for equitable access to palliative care:** Independent admission criteria also contribute to ensuring equitable access to palliative care services for non-cancer patients. By recognizing the unique needs and characteristics of this patient population, these criteria help healthcare systems allocate palliative care resources in a fair and just manner, without solely prioritizing cancer patients. This promotes equity in access to palliative care services, allowing non-cancer patients to benefit from timely and appropriate interventions that improve their quality of life.

In conclusion, developing independent admission criteria for non-cancer patients is essential to address the complexity of their conditions, tailor interventions to their unique needs, consider psychosocial and functional aspects, recognize diverse goals of care, and advocate for equitable access to palliative care. By incorporating these criteria into clinical practice, healthcare providers can ensure that non-cancer patients receive the specialized and person-centered care they require, ultimately enhancing their well-being and quality of life.

## 4 Methods and Steps for Developing Admission Criteria for Non-cancer Patients Receiving Palliative Care

### 4.1 Principles and Process of Developing Criteria

Developing admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care requires following certain principles and processes to ensure the criteria are scientific, fair, and practical. The following are general steps for developing criteria:

**Patient-Centered Approach:** The primary principle in developing admission criteria is to closely consider the needs of non-cancer patients. This means that the criteria should take into account the specific conditions, severity of illness, symptom burden, functional status, and psychosocial needs of non-cancer patients. Ensuring that the criteria accurately reflect the characteristics and needs of non-cancer patients is the foundation for successful criteria development.

**Comprehensive Evidence Evaluation:** The process of developing admission criteria should involve the comprehensive utilization of the latest clinical evidence and research findings. This includes analyzing and evaluating assessment tools, treatment effectiveness indicators, and predictive models for non-cancer patients. By integrating evidence evaluation, the development of admission criteria can enhance scientific validity and reliability.

**Multidisciplinary Collaboration:** Developing admission criteria requires input and participation from multiple disciplines. The disease characteristics and care needs of non-cancer patients involve expertise from various fields, including medicine, nursing, social work, and psychology. Ensuring representation from different disciplines in the criteria development process promotes comprehensive and holistic criteria.

**Transparency and Deliberation:** Developing admission criteria necessitates a transparent and inclusive decision-making process, including collaboration with relevant stakeholders and solicitation of expert opinions. By seeking broad feedback and opinions, the development of admission criteria can better reflect the perspectives and interests of all parties involved, increasing acceptance and feasibility of the criteria.

**Regular Revision and Updating:** Admission criteria should be regularly revised and updated to align with the latest clinical practices and research findings. After developing the criteria, an evaluation mechanism should be established to periodically examine the effectiveness and accuracy of the criteria, making revisions and updates as necessary.

### 4.2 Participants and Decision-Making Process in Criteria Development

Developing admission criteria involves various participants and decision-making processes to ensure the authority and reliability of the criteria. The following are potential participants and decision-making processes in criteria development:

**Professional and Academic Institutions:** Professional organizations and academic institutions in fields such as medicine, nursing, and social work play essential roles in providing expertise and guidance. They can organize expert committees or working groups responsible for criteria development and evaluation.

**Healthcare Institutions and Clinical Teams:** Healthcare institutions and clinical teams are significant participants in developing admission criteria. With direct experience in caring for non-cancer patients, they can provide practical clinical observations and feedback to assess the feasibility and implementation effectiveness of the criteria.

**Patient and Family Representatives:** Involvement of non-cancer patients and their family representatives is crucial in the development of admission criteria. They can participate through working groups, providing experiential insights and perspectives to ensure the criteria adequately consider the needs and opinions of patients and families.

**Development and Review Committees:** Development and review committees are responsible for ensuring that the process of developing admission criteria is scientific, standardized, and transparent. They may be responsible for collecting and analyzing relevant evidence, organizing expert consultation meetings, drafting and revising criteria documents, among other tasks.

**Decision and Accreditation Institutions:** Final decision-making and accreditation often belong to medical policy-making bodies, health management departments, or professional academic institutions. They determine the admission criteria based on the results and opinions provided by the development and review committees, finalizing the criteria for formal publication.

### 4.3 Ethical Considerations and Expert Opinion Solicitation in Criteria Development

In the process of developing admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care, ethical considerations need to be taken into account, and expert opinions should be sought. The following are relevant aspects of ethical considerations and expert opinion solicitation in criteria development:

**Protection of Patient Rights:** The development process of admission criteria should fully protect patient rights and privacy. This includes implementing anonymization and confidentiality measures to ensure the non-abuse or disclosure of patients' personal information.

**Respect for Expert Opinions:** Expert opinions are significant in the development of admission criteria. By organizing expert consultation meetings, soliciting written opinions, and involving experts in discussions on criteria development, the views of experts can be fully respected and considered.

**Ethical Review and Moral Guidelines:** The development process of admission criteria should comply with ethical review and moral guidelines. This involves ensuring that research and opinion solicitation activities meet ethical standards and legal requirements, safeguarding the rights and safety of participants involved.

**Transparency and Openness:** Transparency in developing admission criteria is crucial for ensuring the fairness and credibility of the entire process. The steps of criteria development, the process of opinion solicitation, and the final decision and results should be made public to facilitate transparency and public participation.

In conclusion, developing admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care requires adhering to principles of scientific validity, fairness, and reliability. By taking into consideration the needs of non-cancer patients, seeking expert opinions, following ethical standards, and ensuring transparency, criteria can be developed that are applicable to non-cancer patients,

ensuring they receive appropriate and comprehensive palliative care.

## 5 Key Elements and Indicators of Admission Criteria for Non-cancer Patients Receiving Palliative Care

### 5.1 Elaboration on the Physiological and Psychological Needs of Non-cancer Patients

Non-cancer patients have unique physiological and psychological needs that should be considered when developing admission criteria for palliative care. Understanding and addressing these needs is crucial for delivering effective and patient-centered care. The following are key aspects to consider:

**Symptom Management:** Non-cancer patients may experience a wide range of symptoms associated with their underlying medical conditions. These symptoms can include pain, shortness of breath, fatigue, nausea, and psychological distress. Admission criteria should address the management of these symptoms to provide adequate comfort and relief.

**Functional Status:** It is important to assess the functional status of non-cancer patients, which includes their ability to perform activities of daily living, mobility, and cognitive function. This assessment helps determine the appropriate level of care needed and the potential impact of the illness on their daily lives.

**Psychological and Emotional Support:** Non-cancer patients often face significant psychological and emotional challenges. These can include anxiety, depression, fear, grief, and existential distress. Admission criteria should consider the provision of psychological and emotional support services to promote overall well-being and quality of life.

**Social and Spiritual Support:** Non-cancer patients may require support in maintaining social connections and fulfilling their spiritual or religious needs. This can involve facilitating visits from family and friends, addressing cultural or religious practices, and offering spiritual counseling or guidance.

### 5.2 Classification of Non-cancer Patients' Conditions and Disease Stages

To develop effective admission criteria, it is essential to classify non-cancer patients' conditions and determine their disease stage. This classification helps tailor the care and support provided based on the specific needs and challenges of different disease stages. Key considerations include:

**Underlying Medical Conditions:** Non-cancer patients may have various chronic or life-limiting medical conditions such as heart disease, renal failure, respiratory diseases, or neurodegenerative disorders. Understanding the specific condition or combination of conditions is important for developing appropriate admission criteria.

**Disease Progression:** Assessing the stage or progression of the non-cancer patients' underlying conditions is crucial. This evaluation helps determine the appropriate level of palliative care, including whether they require home-based care, inpatient care, or specialized care in a hospice or palliative care unit.

**Prognostic Factors:** Identifying relevant prognostic factors specific to non-cancer patients' conditions can assist in determining

the expected trajectory and potential outcomes. This information is essential for guiding decisions on admission criteria and establishing appropriate care plans.

### 5.3 Key Elements and Indicators for Admission Criteria

Developing admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care involves identifying key elements and indicators that can encompass the complex needs of this patient population. The following considerations are essential:

**Medical Complexity:** The number and severity of medical conditions, comorbidities, and the complexity of the non-cancer patients' healthcare needs should be considered. This indicator helps establish the level of care required and the resources needed to address their medical complexity effectively.

**Symptom Burden:** Assessing the intensity and impact of symptoms experienced by non-cancer patients is crucial. This indicator helps to determine the appropriate level and type of symptom management interventions to minimize suffering and improve quality of life.

**Prognosis and Life Expectancy:** Understanding the non-cancer patients' prognosis and life expectancy is necessary for determining eligibility and appropriate care options. This indicator can inform decisions regarding the provision of palliative care, transition to end-of-life care, or referral to hospice care.

**Caregiver Support:** Evaluating the availability and capacity of caregivers to provide support and care for non-cancer patients is important. This includes assessing the physical, emotional, and social support they can offer to enhance the patient's well-being and ensure the sustainability of care at home or in the community.

In summary, developing admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care requires consideration of their unique physiological and psychological needs, classification of their underlying conditions and disease stages, as well as identification of key elements and indicators. By addressing these factors, admission criteria can be tailored to ensure appropriate and patient-centered care for non-cancer patients in need of palliative support.

## 6 Implementation and Evaluation of Admission Criteria for Non-cancer Patients Receiving Palliative Care

### 6.1 Implementation Methods and Process for Admission Criteria

Implementing admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care requires a well-defined approach and process to ensure consistency and effectiveness. The following are key aspects of implementing the criteria:

**Dissemination and Education:** It is important to disseminate the admission criteria widely to healthcare professionals, care providers, and relevant stakeholders. This includes providing education and training on the criteria's purpose, criteria application, and the process of referral and assessment.

**Referral and Assessment Process:** Establish a clear and standardized process for referring non-cancer patients to palliative care services based on the admission criteria. This may involve healthcare professionals conducting comprehensive assessments to determine eligibility, using standardized assessment tools and



guidelines.

**Multidisciplinary Collaboration:** Implementing admission criteria requires collaboration among various healthcare disciplines and care providers. Multidisciplinary teams should work together to ensure the appropriate provision of medical, nursing, psychosocial, and spiritual care, as determined by the criteria.

**Communication and Documentation:** Effective communication and documentation are essential during the implementation of admission criteria. This includes clear communication channels among healthcare professionals, care providers, patients, and families, as well as accurate and complete documentation of assessments, care plans, and interventions.

## 6.2 Monitoring and Evaluation of the Effectiveness and Feasibility of Admission Criteria

Continuous monitoring and evaluation are essential to assess the effectiveness and feasibility of admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care. The following measures can be taken:

**Outcome Measurement:** Develop appropriate outcome measures to assess the impact of the admission criteria on patient outcomes, including symptom management, quality of life, patient and family satisfaction, and utilization of healthcare resources.

**Data Collection and Analysis:** Implement a systematic data collection and analysis process to gather information on adherence to the admission criteria, patient characteristics, care interventions, and outcomes. Analyze the data regularly to identify trends, areas for improvement, and potential modifications to the criteria.

**Feedback and Improvement:** Establish mechanisms to collect feedback from healthcare professionals, care providers, patients, and families regarding their experiences with the admission criteria. Use this feedback to identify strengths and weaknesses, make necessary improvements, and enhance the feasibility and acceptability of the criteria.

**Continuous Education and Training:** Provide ongoing education and training to healthcare professionals and care providers involved in implementing the admission criteria. This includes updates on best practices, evidence-based interventions, and changes to the criteria over time.

## 6.3 Adjustment and Optimization of the Criteria

Admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care may require periodic adjustment and optimization. The following considerations are important for this process:

**Regular Review:** Establish a schedule or process for regular review of the admission criteria to ensure they remain up-to-date and in line with current evidence-based practices. Regularly assess the criteria's relevance, appropriateness, and validity to make any necessary adjustments.

**Stakeholder Engagement:** Engage relevant stakeholders, such as healthcare professionals, care providers, patients, families, and professional organizations, in the review and adjustment process. Seek their input and perspectives to ensure the criteria reflect their needs and align with best practices.

**Continuous Improvement:** Use the feedback, data analysis, and evaluation outcomes to identify areas for improvement and optimization. This may involve modifying specific criteria elements, adjusting thresholds or scoring systems, or incorporating

new evidence and guidelines into the criteria.

**Consensus and Approval:** Obtain consensus and approval from relevant decision-making bodies, organizations, or regulatory authorities before implementing any changes to the admission criteria. This ensures that the revised criteria are widely accepted and adopted.

In conclusion, the implementation and evaluation of admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care require a well-defined process, monitoring and evaluation mechanisms, and a continuous improvement mindset. By effectively implementing the criteria, monitoring their impact, and making necessary adjustments, healthcare providers can ensure the provision of high-quality and patient-centered palliative care for non-cancer patients.

# 7 Outlook and Discussion

## 7.1 Challenges and Limitations

The implementation of admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care may face several challenges and limitations that need to be taken into consideration. These include:

**Lack of Consensus:** Developing admission criteria that are widely accepted and agreed upon by healthcare professionals, care providers, and stakeholders can be challenging. Differences in perspectives, values, and practices may hinder the establishment of consensus on the criteria.

**Complexity of Patient Needs:** Non-cancer patients can present with diverse and complex medical, psychosocial, and spiritual needs. Designing admission criteria that adequately address this complexity and ensure appropriate care provision for all patients can be challenging.

**Resource Constraints:** Palliative care services are often resource-intensive, requiring skilled healthcare professionals, specialized facilities, and sufficient funding. Limited resources may pose challenges in implementing the admission criteria and providing equitable access to palliative care for all non-cancer patients.

**Ethical Considerations:** Admission criteria should adhere to ethical principles, such as fairness, transparency, and respect for autonomy. Balancing the need for objective criteria with individualized patient assessment and decision-making can present ethical challenges and dilemmas.

## 7.2 Prospects for Promotion and Application of Admission Criteria

Despite the challenges, there are promising prospects for promoting and applying admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care. These include:

**Standardized Care:** Admission criteria provide a framework for delivering standardized care and ensuring consistency in the assessment and provision of palliative care services. They can help improve the quality and safety of care across different healthcare settings.

**Enhanced Access and Equity:** Well-defined admission criteria can facilitate equitable access to palliative care services for non-cancer patients. By establishing clear eligibility criteria, healthcare providers can identify patients who would benefit most from palliative care and prioritize their access to appropriate services.

**Improved Outcomes:** The application of admission criteria can contribute to improved patient outcomes, such as better symptom management, enhanced quality of life, and increased patient and family satisfaction. By ensuring that patients receive timely and appropriate palliative care, desired outcomes can be achieved more effectively.

**Research and Evidence Development:** The adoption of admission criteria provides opportunities for research and evidence development in the field of non-cancer palliative care. Ongoing evaluation and data collection can contribute to the generation of evidence-based practices, further informing the refinement and optimization of the criteria.

### 7.3 Future Research Directions and Priorities

To continue advancing the field of non-cancer palliative care and the application of admission criteria, future research should focus on the following directions and priorities:

**Validation and Refinement:** Further research is needed to validate the effectiveness, reliability, and validity of the admission criteria for non-cancer patients. This includes assessing their predictive value, discriminative ability, and impact on patient outcomes.

**Patient-Centered Approaches:** Future research should explore patient-centered approaches to develop admission criteria that consider patients' preferences, values, and goals of care. Understanding patients' perspectives can help tailor the criteria to better meet their individual needs and achieve patient-centered outcomes.

**Health Economics and Resource Allocation:** Research should examine the economic implications of implementing admission criteria for non-cancer palliative care. This includes assessing the cost-effectiveness, resource utilization, and impact on healthcare systems to inform resource allocation decisions and policy development.

**Special Populations:** Further investigation is needed to address the specific needs of special populations, such as pediatric, geriatric, and culturally diverse non-cancer patients. Research should aim to develop admission criteria that are sensitive to the unique characteristics and challenges of these populations.

**Long-Term Outcomes and Impact:** Research should explore the long-term outcomes and impact of implementing admission criteria for non-cancer palliative care. This includes assessing patient and caregiver experiences, healthcare utilization patterns, and the effect on end-of-life decision-making.

In conclusion, the promotion and application of admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care offer prospects for standardized care, improved access, and better patient outcomes. However, challenges and limitations need to be addressed, and future research should focus on validation, patient-centered approaches, health economics, special populations, and long-term outcomes to advance the field and optimize the criteria's impact.

## 8 Conclusion

### 8.1 Summary and Recap of Admission Criteria for Non-cancer Patients Receiving Palliative Care

The development, implementation, and evaluation of

admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care have significant implications for delivering appropriate and timely care. The following is a summary and recap of the key points regarding the admission criteria:

**Development of Admission Criteria:** The admission criteria were created to ensure consistent and objective assessment of non-cancer patients in need of palliative care. These criteria consider various dimensions of patients' medical, psychosocial, and spiritual needs.

**Implementation of Criteria:** The implementation process involves disseminating the criteria, establishing referral and assessment processes, promoting multidisciplinary collaboration, and ensuring effective communication and documentation.

**Monitoring and Evaluation:** Continuous monitoring and evaluation are crucial to assess the effectiveness and feasibility of the admission criteria. Outcome measurement, data collection and analysis, feedback collection, and continuous education and training are essential components of this process.

**Adjustment and Optimization:** The admission criteria may require periodic adjustments and optimization to keep them up-to-date with evolving evidence-based practices. Stakeholder engagement, regular reviews, and continuous improvement efforts are necessary to enhance the criteria's relevance and effectiveness.

### 8.2 Recommendations for Further Research and Practice

Based on the findings and considerations discussed throughout this document, the following recommendations can be made for further research and practice in the field:

**Validation and Refinement:** Conduct further research to validate the admission criteria's effectiveness, reliability, and validity. Refine the criteria based on evidence-based practices and patient-centered approaches.

**Patient-Centered Care:** Emphasize patient-centered approaches in developing and implementing admission criteria. Consider patients' preferences, values, and goals of care to tailor the criteria and ensure individualized care provision.

**Health Economics and Resource Allocation:** Investigate the economic implications of implementing the admission criteria. Assess their cost-effectiveness, resource utilization, and impact on healthcare systems to inform decision-making and resource allocation.

**Special Populations:** Pay special attention to the unique needs of special populations (such as pediatric, geriatric, and culturally diverse patients) when developing and applying admission criteria. Adapt the criteria to address their specific characteristics and challenges.

**Long-Term Outcomes and Impact:** Explore the long-term outcomes and impact of implementing the admission criteria. Assess patient and caregiver experiences, healthcare utilization patterns, and the effect on end-of-life decision-making.

By addressing these research and practice recommendations, healthcare providers can enhance the effectiveness and appropriateness of the admission criteria, ultimately improving the quality of palliative care for non-cancer patients.

**Table 1: Summary of the Implementation Process for Admission Criteria**

Stage	Key Activities
<b>Development</b>	- Identify relevant dimensions of patients' needs
	- Establish objective criteria based on evidence and best practices
	- Obtain consensus and stakeholder approval
<b>Implementation</b>	- Disseminate the criteria to healthcare professionals and providers
	- Establish referral and assessment processes
	- Foster multidisciplinary collaboration
	- Ensure effective communication and documentation
<b>Monitoring</b>	- Measure patient outcomes to assess the impact of the criteria
<b>and Evaluation</b>	- Collect and analyze data on adherence to the criteria, patient characteristics, etc.
	- Gather feedback from stakeholders about their experiences
	- Continuously educate and train healthcare professionals involved in implementing criteria
<b>Adjustment</b>	- Regularly review and update the criteria based on new evidence and feedback
<b>and Optimization</b>	- Engage stakeholders in the review and adjustment process
	- Continuously improve the feasibility and acceptability of the criteria

**Table 2: Future Research Directions and Priorities**

Research Direction	Key Areas of Focus
<b>Validation and Refinement</b>	- Assessing predictive value and impact on patient outcomes
	- Evaluating reliability and validity
<b>Patient-Centered Approaches</b>	- Incorporating patient preferences and goals of care
	- Tailoring criteria to individual needs
<b>Health Economics and Resource Allocation</b>	- Assessing cost-effectiveness and resource utilization
	- Impact on healthcare systems and policy development
<b>Special Populations</b>	- Addressing the needs of specific populations
	- Adaptation of criteria to diverse populations
<b>Long-Term Outcomes and Impact</b>	- Assessing patient and caregiver experiences
	- Impact on end-of-life decision-making

In conclusion, the implementation and evaluation of admission criteria for non-cancer patients receiving palliative care require a collaborative and systematic approach. Further research and practice should focus on validation, patient-centered care, health economics and resource allocation, special populations, and long-term outcomes to advance the field and optimize the criteria's impact.

**Reference**

[1] Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. (2018). Palliative care for the patient without cancer. *Australian Journal of General Practice*, 47(11), 783-788.

[2] Mounsey, L., Ferres, M., & Eastman, P. (2018). Palliative care for the patient without cancer. *Australian Journal of General Practice*, 47(11), 783-788.

[3] Palliative Care for Patients with Non-cancer Illnesses. (n.d.). National Center for Biotechnology Information.

[4] Lam, E. T., Jacks, L. M., Kohlwes, R. J., & Pantilat, S. Z. (2019). Inequity of Palliative Care for Non-Cancer Patients. *Journal of Nursing Research*, 27(2), e1.

[5] Ferrell, B. R., Temel, J. S., Temin, S., Alesi, E. R., Balboni, T. A., Basch, E. M., ... & Smith, T. J. (2021). NCCN Guidelines Insights: Palliative Care, Version 2.2021. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, 19(10), 1068-1079.